Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,610	DEGUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>newly filed</u>		
(1) <u>Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms. Liane Churney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2005	Time: <u>pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1-4		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Appicant agrees to amend the claims to correct minor antecedent basis ambiguities, specifically, "an outer side of the car, a lower end, body part, and outer end of the body part, and an outer side of the molding part.		
Part III.		•
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
Though B. Thompson It		
(Examiner/SPE Signature – if appropriate) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		